

Chapter 2. Quantum Dynamics (in closed systems)

2.1 Time evolution and Schrödinger equation

In Chapter 1, we had "spatial" translation, (\hat{x})

Now, we need "time" to describe "dynamics".

(1) Time - Evolution Operator. $U(t, t_0)$

notation: $|\alpha, t_0; t\rangle = U(t, t_0) |\alpha, t_0\rangle$

\swarrow State at t ,
used to be $|\alpha\rangle$
at t_0

\downarrow Time-Evolution op.
of $t_0 \rightarrow t$

\searrow state ket $|\alpha\rangle$
prepared at time " t_0 ".

• property of the time-evolution operator

① It's a unitary operator.

$$U^\dagger(t, t_0) U(t, t_0) = \mathbf{1} \quad (\text{also, } U U^\dagger = \mathbf{1})$$

\Rightarrow Time-evolution does not change the sum of probabilities.
 $= 1$
; norm is always 1.

$$\langle \alpha, t_0; t | \alpha, t_0; t \rangle = \langle \alpha, t_0 | U^\dagger(t, t_0) U(t, t_0) | \alpha, t_0 \rangle = 1.$$

$$\textcircled{2} \quad U(t_2, t_0) = U(t_2, t_1) U(t_1, t_0) \quad (\text{Right-to-Left!})$$

: successive time-evolution.

$$\begin{array}{c} t_0 \xrightarrow{U} t_1 \xrightarrow{U} t_2 \\ \hline t_0 \xrightarrow{U} t_2 \end{array}$$

Note: physically, $t_2 > t_1 > t_0$,

but "effective" backward evolution is also possible.

• So, What does $U(t, t_0)$ look like?

2

↔ It's just like "time"-version of the translation operator " J ".

previously, it was $x \rightarrow x + \delta x$,

now, it is $t_0 \rightarrow t_0 + \delta t$!

⇒ infinitesimal t -evolution operator

$$J(\delta x) = 1 - i\tilde{K}\delta x$$

: spatial translation

$$U(t_0 + \delta t, t_0) = 1 - i\tilde{Q}\delta t$$

• \tilde{Q} : a Hermitian operator ($\tilde{Q}^\dagger = \tilde{Q}$)

(because of $U^\dagger U = 1$)

$$\leftrightarrow (\tilde{K}^\dagger = \tilde{K})$$

• check if the properties of U are valid with this form.

• Now, what does " \tilde{Q} " look like?

previously, in spatial translation,

$$\tilde{K} = \tilde{P}/\hbar \quad \leftarrow \text{classical-quantum correspondence}$$

: momentum is a generator of linear translation.

↳ What is a generator of "time" translation in classical Mechanics?

→ Hamiltonian.

Thus,

$$\tilde{Q} = \frac{\tilde{H}}{\hbar}$$

$$: \hbar \dot{t} = [\tau]^{-1}$$

$$[H] = [E] = [\hbar \omega]$$

$$\text{where } [\omega] = [\tau]^{-1}$$

- But, there is a "Big" (?) difference between spatial and time translations.

$$J(\delta x) = 1 - i \frac{\tilde{P}}{\hbar} \delta x \longleftrightarrow U(\delta t) = 1 - i \frac{\tilde{H}}{\hbar} \delta t$$

↑

\tilde{x} is an "operator".

↑

t is a "parameter".

- What happens if " t " is an operator?
(it's fair in terms of special relativity).

from J
↓

$$\Rightarrow [\tilde{x}_i, \tilde{p}_j] = i\hbar \delta_{ij} \longrightarrow [\tilde{E}, \tilde{H}] = i\hbar$$

meaning of $[\tilde{E}, \tilde{H}] = i\hbar$: (infinite uncertainty)
as $\Delta t \rightarrow 0$

There is no bound in Energy!

: unphysical

→

t cannot be
an operator!

- What we're doing here: "Canonical Quantization".

→ \tilde{x} is an operator; t is a parameter

c.f. Quantum field Theory (second quantization)

→ "field" is an operator

; (x, y, z, t) is a parameter
of the field.

(2) Schrödinger Equation.

→ differential eq. for U infinitesimal

$$\begin{aligned} \text{try } U(t+\delta t, t_0) &= U(t+\delta t, t) U(t, t_0) \\ &= \left(1 - \frac{i\hbar}{\hbar} H \delta t\right) U(t, t_0) \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{U(t+\delta t, t_0) - U(t, t_0)}{\delta t} = -i \frac{H}{\hbar} U(t, t_0)$$

as $\delta t \rightarrow 0$

$$\Rightarrow \boxed{i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t} U(t, t_0) = H U(t, t_0)}$$

Schrödinger eq. for U .

• For a state ket $|\alpha\rangle$, (prepared at t_0)

$$i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t} U(t, t_0) |\alpha, t_0\rangle = H U(t, t_0) |\alpha, t_0\rangle$$

$$\Rightarrow \boxed{i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t} |\alpha, t_0; t\rangle = H |\alpha, t_0; t\rangle}$$

This is the Schrödinger eq. that we know.

* Explicit form of $U(t, t_0)$.

Case 1. $H = \text{time-independent}$.

Solve!

$$i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t} U(t, t_0) = H U(t, t_0) \Rightarrow U(t, t_0) = e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar} H(t-t_0)}$$

infinite-steps of infinitesimal t -evolutions

$$\text{or } \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \left[1 - \frac{i}{\hbar} H \left(\frac{t-t_0}{N} \right) \right]^N = \exp \left[-\frac{i}{\hbar} H(t-t_0) \right]$$

$$\exp\left[-\frac{\hat{H}}{\hbar}H(t-t_0)\right] = 1 - \frac{\hat{H}}{\hbar}H(t-t_0) + \frac{1}{2!} \cdot \left(\frac{\hat{H}}{\hbar}\right)^2 H^2(t-t_0)^2 + \dots$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left[\checkmark \right] &= -\frac{\hat{H}}{\hbar}H + \frac{1}{2} \cdot \left(\frac{\hat{H}}{\hbar}\right)^2 H^2 \cdot 2(t-t_0) + \dots \\ &= -\frac{\hat{H}}{\hbar}H \left(1 - \frac{\hat{H}}{\hbar}H(t-t_0) + \dots \right) \\ &= U(t-t_0) \end{aligned}$$

Case 2. H : time-dependent, but $[H(t_1), H(t_2)] = 0$

$$\Rightarrow U(t, t_0) = \exp\left[-\frac{\hat{H}}{\hbar} \int_{t_0}^t dt' H(t')\right]$$

Case 3. $[H(t_1), H(t_2)] \neq 0$.

ex. spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ in a magnetic field

$$H \propto \vec{S} \cdot \vec{B}(t) \rightarrow \text{if } \vec{B}(t) = B(t) \hat{z} \text{ (same dir.)}$$

$$\Rightarrow [H(t_1), H(t_2)] = 0$$

$$\rightarrow \text{if } \vec{B}(t) = B_x(t) \hat{x} + B_y(t) \hat{y}$$

$$\Rightarrow [H(t_1), H(t_2)] \neq 0$$

$$\Rightarrow U(t, t_0) = \mathcal{T} \exp\left[-\frac{\hat{H}}{\hbar} \int_{t_0}^t dt' H(t')\right]$$

\mathcal{T} time-ordering operator.

expansion:

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow U(t, t_0) &= 1 + \left(\frac{-\hat{H}}{\hbar}\right) \int_{t_0}^t dt_1 H(t_1) \\ &\quad + \left(\frac{-\hat{H}}{\hbar}\right)^2 \int_{t_0}^t dt_1 \int_{t_0}^{t_1} dt_2 H(t_1) H(t_2) \\ &\quad + \left(\frac{-\hat{H}}{\hbar}\right)^3 \int_{t_0}^t dt_1 \int_{t_0}^{t_1} dt_2 \int_{t_0}^{t_2} dt_3 H(t_1) H(t_2) H(t_3) \\ &\quad \vdots \end{aligned}$$

time-ordered!

t ...

* meaning of the "time-ordering" operator

6

Let's try to find a solution iteratively.

try $U^{(0)} = 1 \rightarrow i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t} U^{(1)} = H(t) \rightarrow U = 1 + \int_{t_0}^t dt' H(t')$

$U^{(1)}(t, t_0) = 1 + \frac{1}{i\hbar} \int_{t_0}^t dt' H(t') \Rightarrow i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t} U^{(2)} = H(t) + H(t) \frac{1}{i\hbar} \int_{t_0}^{t'} dt'' H(t'')$

$\Rightarrow U^{(2)}(t, t_0) = 1 + \frac{1}{i\hbar} \int_{t_0}^t dt' H(t')$

$+ \left(\frac{1}{i\hbar}\right)^2 \int_{t_0}^t dt' H(t') \int_{t_0}^{t'} dt'' H(t'')$

$U^{(2)}(t, t_0) \rightarrow U^{(3)}(t, t_0) \rightarrow \dots$

$\Rightarrow U(t, t_0) = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{i\hbar}\right)^n \int_{t_0}^t dt_1 \int_{t_0}^{t_1} dt_2 \dots \int_{t_0}^{t_{n-1}} dt_n H(t_1) H(t_2) \dots H(t_n)$

No $n!$ factor!

(Dyson series)

• Second order term:

$\int_{t_0}^t dt' \int_{t_0}^{t'} dt'' H(t') H(t'') = \left[\frac{1}{2} \int_{t_0}^t dt' \int_{t_0}^{t'} dt'' H(t') H(t'') \right]$

$+ \left[\frac{1}{2} \int_{t_0}^t dt' \int_{t'}^t dt'' H(t'') H(t') \right]$

$= \frac{1}{2!} \int_{t_0}^t dt' \int_{t_0}^t dt'' T[H(t') H(t'')]$

def. time-ordering op.

where $T[A(t') B(t'')] = \Theta(t' - t'') A(t') B(t'')$

$+ \Theta(t'' - t') B(t'') A(t')$

$\Rightarrow U(t, t_0) = T \exp \left[-\frac{i}{\hbar} \int_{t_0}^t dt' H(t') \right]$

(3) Energy eigenkets.

If we know the eigenkets of $H : \{|n\rangle, E_n\}$

$$\Rightarrow H|n\rangle = E_n|n\rangle$$

\uparrow can be a collective index.
of (a, b, c, d, \dots) , given by
a complete set of mutually commuting observables
 $[A, B] = [B, C] = \dots = 0$
 $[H, A] = [H, B] = \dots = 0$.

• representation of $U(t) = \exp[-\frac{iHt}{\hbar}] \parallel_{t_0=0, H:t\text{-indep.}}$

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow U(t) &= \sum_{n', n''} |n''\rangle \langle n''| e^{-\frac{iHt}{\hbar}} |n'\rangle \langle n'| \\ &= \sum_{n'} |n'\rangle e^{-\frac{iE_n t}{\hbar}} \langle n'| \end{aligned}$$

• time-evolution of a state ket ($t_0 = 0$)

$$|\alpha\rangle = \sum_n |n\rangle \langle n|\alpha\rangle = \sum_n c_n |n\rangle$$

$$\begin{aligned} |\alpha; t\rangle &= e^{-\frac{iHt}{\hbar}} |\alpha\rangle = \sum_n e^{-\frac{iE_n t}{\hbar}} |n\rangle \langle n|\alpha\rangle \\ &= \sum_n \underbrace{c_n e^{-\frac{iE_n t}{\hbar}}}_{\equiv c_n(t)} |n\rangle \end{aligned}$$

(4) Time dependence of Expectation values.

• Stationary state.

$|\alpha\rangle = |n\rangle$: measured at an eigenstate.

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \alpha; t | B | \alpha; t \rangle &= \langle \alpha | U^\dagger(t) B U(t) | \alpha \rangle \quad \text{c-number!} \\ &= \langle n | \exp(\frac{iE_n t}{\hbar}) \cdot B \exp(-\frac{iE_n t}{\hbar}) | n \rangle \\ &= \langle n | B | n \rangle : t\text{-independent!} \end{aligned}$$

② non-stationary state

$$|d\rangle = \sum_n C_n |n\rangle \quad \left(\begin{array}{l} \text{not in a particular} \\ \text{eigenstate!} \end{array} \right)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \alpha; t | B | \alpha; t \rangle &= \sum_{n'} C_n^* \langle n' | e^{\frac{iE_n t}{\hbar}} \cdot B \cdot \\ &\quad \sum_{n''} C_{n''} e^{-\frac{iE_{n''} t}{\hbar}} | n'' \rangle \\ &= \sum_{n', n''} C_{n'}^* C_{n''} \langle n' | B | n'' \rangle e^{-i\omega_{n''n'} t} \end{aligned}$$

where $\omega_{n''n'} = \frac{E_{n''} - E_{n'}}{\hbar}$

\Rightarrow oscillations !!

(5) example: spin precession (spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ system)

$\bullet \quad H = -\alpha \vec{S} \cdot \vec{B}, \quad \alpha = \frac{e}{m_e c}, \quad \vec{B} = B \hat{z}$
(uniform B-field)

$= - \left(\frac{eB}{m_e c} \right) \tilde{S}_z \quad (e < 0 \text{ for electrons})$

eigenstates: $E_{\pm} = \mp \frac{e\hbar B}{2m_e c}$ for $|\pm\rangle$

letting $\omega \equiv \frac{|e|B}{m_e c}$,

$H \equiv \omega \tilde{S}_z$

$\begin{array}{c} || \\ |\uparrow\rangle, |\downarrow\rangle \end{array}$
in our notation.

\bullet time-evolution operator

$U(t) = \exp \left[\frac{-i\omega \tilde{S}_z t}{\hbar} \right]$

• time-evolution from a state ket $|\alpha\rangle$

$$|\alpha\rangle = C_+ |\uparrow\rangle + C_- |\downarrow\rangle$$

$$\Rightarrow |\alpha; t\rangle = C_+ e^{-\frac{i\omega t}{2}} |\uparrow\rangle + C_- e^{\frac{i\omega t}{2}} |\downarrow\rangle$$

$$\begin{cases} H|\uparrow\rangle = \frac{\hbar\omega}{2} |\uparrow\rangle \\ H|\downarrow\rangle = -\frac{\hbar\omega}{2} |\downarrow\rangle \end{cases}$$

• example: $|\alpha\rangle = |\uparrow\rangle$, it an eigenket.

No t -dependence.

• example: $|\alpha\rangle = |S_x; +\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} |\uparrow\rangle + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} |\downarrow\rangle$.

\Rightarrow Prob. of finding $|S_x; \pm\rangle$ state at time t :

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle S_x; \pm | \alpha; t \rangle|^2 &= \left| \left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \langle \uparrow | \pm \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \langle \downarrow | \right] \cdot \left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} e^{-\frac{i\omega t}{2}} |\uparrow\rangle + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} e^{\frac{i\omega t}{2}} |\downarrow\rangle \right] \right|^2 \\ &= \left| \frac{1}{2} e^{-\frac{i\omega t}{2}} \pm \frac{1}{2} e^{\frac{i\omega t}{2}} \right|^2 \end{aligned}$$

$$= \begin{cases} \cos^2 \frac{\omega t}{2} & \text{for } |S_x; +\rangle \\ \sin^2 \frac{\omega t}{2} & \text{for } |S_x; -\rangle \end{cases}$$

\Rightarrow observables $= \frac{\hbar}{2} [|\uparrow\rangle\langle\downarrow| + |\downarrow\rangle\langle\uparrow|]$

$$\langle \tilde{S}_x \rangle \equiv \langle \alpha; t | \tilde{S}_x | \alpha; t \rangle = \frac{\hbar}{2} \cos \omega t$$

$$\langle \tilde{S}_y \rangle = \frac{\hbar}{2} \sin \omega t$$

$$\langle \tilde{S}_z \rangle = 0$$